9.4: City of Brigantine – Jurisdictional Annex

Summary of Hazards and Actions

	Summary of Hazard R	anking and Actions, City	of Brigantine
Hazard	Hazard Ranking	# of Related Actions	Action #s
	Atı	mospheric Hazards	
Extreme Temperatures	М	7	1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 15
Extreme Wind	M	7	1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 15
Hail	L	7	1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 15
Hurricane & Tropical Storm	Н	7	1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 15
Lightning	L	7	1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 15
Nor'easter	M	7	1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 15
Tornado	L	7	1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 15
Winter Storm	М	7	1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 15
	H	ydrologic Hazards	
Coastal Erosion	М	9	1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 15, 18, 19
Sea Level Rise	Н	8	1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 15, 24
Dam Failure	N/A		
Levee Failure	N/A		
Drought	L	7	1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 15
Flood	Н	23	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23
Tsunami	L	7	1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 15
Storm Surge	Н	11	1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 15, 19, 24
Wave Action	M	7	1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 15
		Geologic Hazards	
Earthquake	L	7	1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 15
	Oth	ner Natural Hazards	
Wildfire	L	7	1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 15

Mitigation Strategy for Risk Reduction

	Overview of Municipal Mitigation	n Strategy, City o	f Brigantine	
Project Number	Project Description	Hazard(s) Addressed	Priority	Goals
1	Periodic review of plans and codes, and changes (Action 5 in 2016 Plan)	All	Н	4
2	Targeted area for Blue Acres Acquisition primarily on Brigantine Avenue, Harbor Beach Boulevard and East Evans Avenue. (New)	Flood	Н	3
3	Maintain active training schedules for all relative officials (Action 3 in 2016 Plan)	All	Н	2
4	Continue to revise local codes that needed to be adjusted to mitigate potential damages and loss of life (Action 4 in 2016 Plan)	All	Н	4
5	Emergency Generator Installation-City Hall, South End Sewer Lift Station, Jenkins Parkway Sewer, Harbor Beach Boulevard Stormwater Lift Station, Public Works SCADA Control Center, 12th Street Stormwater Pumping Station. (New)	Flood	н	3
6	Inspect all check valves and replace/repair as needed. (New)	Flood	Н	3
7	Utilize existing "reverse 911", Nixle service, social media accounts, local emergency AM radio system. Ensure local websites are up to date and information linked to AC Install City-Wide Warning System/Implement IPAWS notifications using cell towers OEM. Install remotely actuated road flood barrier warning system (Action 7 in 2016 Plan)	All	Н	2
8	Continue to revise the Floodplain Management Plan, Repetitive Loss Analysis, Natural Resources Plan, Watershed Management Plan, mapping elevation certificates, and other actions. (New)	All	Н	4
9	Close bulkhead gaps; Elevate bulkheads (Public and Private) Poinsettia Way, Lilac Way, Golf Course, Pepper Cove, 13th Street Northto 14th Street North, Bayside Gabion, 9th Street North to 5th Street North - Oceanside (New)	Flood	Н	3
10	Continue the apply for FEMA Funding to elevate homes. (New)	Flood	Н	3
11	Support floodproofing for commercial buildings in the Business District (New)	Flood	Н	3
12	Install and maintain inlet grate, debris collectors, and outlet structures. (New)	Flood	Н	3
13	Install and maintain inlet grate, debris collectors, and outlet structures. (New)	Flood	Н	3
14	Maintain golf course drainage area (New)	Flood	Н	3
15	Install City wide warning system and implement IPAWS notifications using cell towers. (New)	Flood	Н	2
16	Elevate evacuation routes (new)	Flood	Н	3
17	Elevate selected roads with drainage improvements - Brigantine Boulevard by Edgewater; Harbor Beach Boulevard; Sarazen Boulevard; Evans Boulevard; Lafayette Boulevard (new)	Flood	Н	3



	Overview of Municipal Mitigatio	n Strategy, City of	Brigantine	
Project Number	Project Description	Hazard(s) Addressed	Priority	Goals
18	Extend North End Seawall by 275 feet northward and to Bayside, Create vehicle crossover; implement beachfill from 15th Street North to 4 WD entrance. (new)	Flood, Coastal Erosion	Н	3
19	Continue back passing operation for beach replenishment - North End (new)	Flood, Storm surge	Н	3
20	Complete drainage improvements and inlet installation (for example 32nd - 40th Street South). (new)	Flood	Н	3
21	Install flood wall at City dock (2519-2601 Bayshore Avenue) (new)	Flood	Н	3
22	Road Elevation/Berm Transformation - identify and construct streets that can also function as berms or floodwalls. (new)	Flood	Н	3
23	Real estate disclosure of hazards (new)	Flood	Н	2
24	Integrated flood hazard/sea level mapping (new)	Flood, Sea level rise, Storm surge	Н	24

More detailed information on these individual actions is presented at the end of this annex.



Contact Information

City of Brigantine's Statement of Authority to Participate identified a Primary Point of Contact and an Alternate for this hazard mitigation plan update. These individuals represented the jurisdiction on the county-wide Core Planning Group and led a local team of Jurisdictional Assessment Team Members who undertook various local activities related to the plan update.

HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN P	OINTS OF CONTACT
Primary Point of Contact	Alternate Point of Contact
Name: William Stroby Title: Lieutenant, OEM Coordinator Department: Brigantine Police Department Address: 1417 W. Brigantine Avenue, Brigantine, NJ 08203 Phone Number: 609-266-7414, 609-266-1066 E-mail Address: wstroby@brigantinebeachnj.com	Name: James Rutala Title: Grants Coordinator Department: Rutala Associates, LLC Address: 717 River Drive, Linwood, NJ 08221 Phone Number: 609-743-0354 E-mail Address: jmrutala@comcast.net

Jurisdictional Assessment Team Members

Local Jurisdiction Role/Position	Name	Email	Phone	Date of Invitation	Method of Invitation	Agreed to participate?
Mayor/Supervisor	Vince Sera	vsera@brigantinebeachnj.com	(609)266-7600	06/01/21	email	Y
Administrator	James Bennett	jbennett@brigantinebeachnj.com	(609)266-7600 ext 212	06/01/21	email	Y
Clerk	Lynn Sweeney	lsweeney@brigantinebeachnj.com	(609) 266-7600 ext 220	06/01/21	email	Y
Engineer	Matt Doran	mdoran@brigantinebeachnj.com	(609) 266-7600	06/01/21	email	Y
Attorney	Alfred Scerni	fscerni@parkermccay.com	(609) 347-8002	06/01/21	email	Y
Building Code Official	Richard Stevens	rstevens@brigantinebeachnj.com	(609)266-7600 ext 261	06/01/21	email	Y
Emergency Manager	Lt. William Stroby	wstroby@brigantinebeachnj.com	(609) 266-7600 ext 268	06/01/21	coordinator	Y
Fiscal/Budget Officer	Roxanne Tosto	rtosto@brigantinebeachnj.com	(609) 266-7600 ext 213	06/01/21	email	Y
Floodplain Manager	Richard Stevens	rstevens@brigantinebeachnj.com	(609) 266-7600 ext 261	06/01/21	email	Y
Planner	Lance Landgraf	lblandgraf@gmail.com	(609) 335-0505	06/01/21	email	Y
Public Works Director	John Doring	jdoring@brigantinebeachnj.com	(609) 266-7800	06/01/21	email	Y
CRS Consultant	Jim Rutala	jmrutala@comcast.net	609.743.0354	06/01/21	email	Y
CRS Coordinator	Ed Stinson	estinson@ventnorcity.org	609.377.1369	06/01/21	email	Y

Brigantine has reported undertaking the following internal activities in preparation of its mitigation plan:

			INVOLVED PARTIES - Place an 'x' for any position title that participated									
Subject of JAT Activity (Meeting Notice, Emails, Etc.)	Date	Mayor/ Supervisor	Administrator	Clerk	Engineer	Attorney	Building Code Official	Emergency Manager	Fiscal /Budget Officer	Floodplain Manager	Planner	Public Works Director
Opening email and invitations sent	06/01/21	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
City Council Meeting Resolution Passed	06/02/21	Х	Х	X	Х	Х			Х			Х
Kick off Meeting with AECOM	06/14/21							Х			Χ	
Prepare Worksheets	06/20/21		X		Х			X		X	Χ	

Governing Body Format

The governing body in the City of Brigantine is comprised of the Mayor (elected at large), two at-large council members and four ward council members, all of whom serve terms of office of four years. The mayor and the two at-large council seats come up for vote as part of the November general election in leap years, with the four ward seats up for vote simultaneously two years later.

Land Use and Development Trends

An evaluation of growth and development trends was undertaken by each participating jurisdiction as part of the development of the initial plan in 2010, and the last update in 2016. As part of this second plan update, Brigantine reviewed and updated its prior feedback to reflect current conditions as of mid-2021.

Atlantic County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Worksheet #3 - Growth and Development Trends Update

Performing an assessment of Growth and Development Trends is one step of a FEMA-approved hazard mitigation plan update. This look into the future is important because development in hazard areas could put more assets at risk and, in turn, could work to increase potential disaster-related damages at a time when the mitigation plan's purpose is to reduce the potential for damages emanating from natural disasters. Growth/development trends are based on the construction of new buildings, demolition of old buildings, or the planning, zoning or subdivision of new areas for development. A stagnant or decreasing population alone is not reason for stating that no development has occurred, unless backed up by a lack of development.

An evaluation of growth/development trends was undertaken by each participating jurisdiction as part of the development of the 2016 plan. Your municipality's prior response from 2016 is included on the next page in Part 1. Please review your community's prior feedback, and identify any changes that have occurred since that time so the most current information can be reflected in this 2021 plan update. In addition, please provide responses for Part 2.

Information for the 2016 plan was provided to us by: James Bennett, OEM Coordinator

Your Name[: William Stroby]

Title: Lieutenant / OEM Coordinato]

Community: City of Brigantine

Email and Phone [wstroby@brigantinebeachnj.com]



Part 1 – Please update your response from 2016 to reflect current conditions in the community.

Community	1. Land Uses and Development Trends in Hazard Areas as Reported in the 2016 Plan. Please describe development trends occurring within your jurisdiction, such as the predominant types of development occurring, location, expected intensity, and pace by land use. While details are preferred, it is ok if your feedback is qualitative and quite general, such as "high- occupancy, high-density residential development is occurring near the waterfront".	la. Please update your response to reflect conditions in 2021	2. Regulations/Codes/Ordinances To Protect New Development From Natural Hazards as Reported in the 2016 Plan. Does your jurisdiction enforce regulations/ordinances/codes to protect new development from the effects of natural hazards? (Some examples might be floodplain management ordinances enforcing FEMA's NFIP for new development or substantial improvements in the floodplain; steep slope ordinances for community's which may have landslide hazards; earthquake resistant design criteria and/or high wind design criteria; or buffer zones in wildfire hazard areas.) If so, please describe.	2a. Please update your response to reflect conditions in 2021		
Brigantine, City of	The current development trend within the City of Brigantine is predominantly single family residential development. Most of the new construction is occurring on properties which had an existing structure demolished for the new construction. Development trends remain the same. Post Sandy approximately 400 homes were identified as substantially damaged, with approximately 200 being raised.	As of 2021 the City has seen a high influx of full time residents. In post Covid time families are able to work from home via computers. Several homes which in the past were considered weekend rentals are being bought and occupied by full time residents. New homes are being built across the island at a rapid pace.	Yes, the City of Brigantine enforces regulations and ordinances to protect new development from the effects of natural hazards. The natural hazards that threaten the City are mainly flooding, wave velocity, and high wind. The City participates in FEMA's NFIP and the construction department enforces regulations for minimum floor height, foundation construction and flood vents. The constructions department also enforces the IBC-IRC codes that provide construction criteria for structures within high wind areas. (Information provided by City Engineer and Deputy Coordinator Edward Stinson.) Adopted ABFE and FIRM elevations. 70% of new or substantially renovated homes are required to have a finished floor of 12 feet with 3 feet of freeboard, dependent on their ABFE location rating (AE9, AE10 X, etc.)	New codes and ordinances are being developed to adjust for new flood regulations. The city also provides NIXLE awareness for pending floods and storms. The PPI committee meets regularly to find ways to reach out to the public. Mainly social media outlets.		

National Flood Insurance Program Summary

Brigantine has participated in FEMA's National Flood Insurance program (NFIP) since 1970.

National Flood Insurance Program Data City of Brigantine	
Total number of policies ¹	6,338
Insurance in force ²	\$1,532,508,600
Total number of losses during NFIP participation	4,216
Total claims paid during NFIP participation	\$99,720,550
Non-Mitigated Repetitive Loss Properties	114
Non-Mitigated Severe Repetitive Loss Properties	9

Brigantine also participates in the NFIP's Community Rating System (CRS). City of Brigantine entered the CRS in 1992, and is presently a Class 5 community receiving a 25% premium discount for policyholders in the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) and a 10% premium discount for policyholders outside of the SFHA.

Brigantine provided the following NFIP Administrator Input in 2021, for inclusion in the 2022 Plan.

² Insurance in Force from www.fema.gov NFIP Insurance Report, data current as of 06/03/21



Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan – Atlantic County, New Jersey Jurisdictional Annex, City of Brigantine, Plan Update – 2022

¹ Policies in Force from www.fema.gov NFIP Insurance Report, data current as of 06/03/21.

Name: [City of Brigantine]Title:	Floodplain Manager/Floodplain Administrator/NFIP Coordinator	
Community 7600	: City of Briganting Email and Pho	one[; co 1	onstruction@brigantinebeachnj.com (609) 266-	

Atlantic County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update - Worksheet #2 - NFIP

		•		-			
Adoption Date of your Current Floodplain Management Ordinance	Date of Entry into NFIP ¹	Designated Fl	r Title of Your Ju oodplain Manager be called NFIP Co	/Administrator	Is this person <u>is</u> a Certified Floodplain Manager?	Is floodplain management auxiliary function?	
2018	1971	[C	Construction Office	ial	[X] Yes [] No	[X] Yes [] N	No [X] Yes [] No
Provide an explana	tion of NFIP adn	ninistration services (i.e	., permit review, (GIS, education or outrea	ch, inspections, engineer	ing capability, e	tc.):
firms		g utilizes ArcGIS for p		e, inspections are conduct	ed, and city has a desig	nated engineer a	nd a pool of contract
L							
When was most recent FEMA Community Assistance Visit (CAV) or Community Assistance Contact (CAC)?*	Is a CAV or CAC scheduled or needed?	ordinance exceed	Is training of staff regarding NFIP issues planned?	Does your community intend to continue to enforce the floodplain management requirements including regulating new construction in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs)?	Does your community participate in the CRS? If so, state your Class.	Does your community intend to continue its participation in the CRS program?	If your community is not currently participating in the CRS program, are you intending to initiate the process during the next planning cycle?
2019	[X]Yes [] No	[X] Yes [] No	[] Yes [X] No	[[X] Yes [] No	[X] Yes* [] No * Class_5_	[X]Yes[] No	[] Yes [] No
*Describe any outs	tanding complian	ce issues (i.e., current	violations):				
		FI	oodplain Ordinan	ce exceeds height and cod	le limits		

Name:_	Richard Stever	IS	Title:_	Floodplain Mar	nager/Floodplain	Administrator/l	NFIP Coordinat	or no
Represe	enting:_[City of Brigantine]Email and	Phone:[_rsteve	ens@brigantineb	eachnj.com 609	0-266-7600 x282	
Provide an expla	nation of your local	floodplain permittin	ng process:					
[All permitting is	in full compliance v	vith CAFRA, DEP, U	JCC and local laws/o	ordinances.				
Does your community intend to continue floodplain identification and mapping services including any local requests for map updates?	Does your community intend to initiate/continue the buyouts of repetitive loss properties?	Does your community intend to commit staff or resources to improve local mapping or code administration in the future?	Does your community intend to provide local outreach to promote the sale of flood insurance?	Does your community intend to participate in RiskMAP meetings and planning initiatives?	Does your community intend to continue to implement structural improvements to mitigate against flooding - culverts, drainage basins, etc.?	Does your community intend to continue to implement home improvement programs designed to minimize basement flooding?	Does your community intend to continue to implement roadway improvements to reduce damage from future flooding events?	Does your community intend to implement plans and programs in coordination with a local or regional drainage/sewer authority?
[X] Yes [] No	[] Yes [X] No	[X] Yes [] No	[] Yes [X] No	[] Yes X No	[X] Yes [] No	[] Yes [X] No	[X] Yes [] No	X Yes [] No
adopt the new	munity intend to FEMA Advisory l Elevations?	your predecessor a	development of the	actively parti development	Manager, are you icipating in the of this Hazard Plan Update?	community's	re been any chang s local floodplain ce the last version	management
[X] Ye	s [] No	[[X] Yes	[] No	[[X] Ye	s [] No		[] Yes * X] No	
* If you answered	d "yes", that there h	ave been changes to	your local program	since the last vers	ion of the plan, plea	se describe:		
С								
Provide a descrip	ption of your comm	ınity assistance and	monitoring activities	s:				
Periodic worksho	ops and meetings of	fered to public						
management ord	linance to ensure tha	required to update/o at it complies with the ontinue to commit to		floodplain	icipating communit management ordin nunity continue to c	ance to be consist	ent with the latest	t FIRMs. Will
	[[X]	Yes [] No				X Yes [] No		

Note: All NFIP participating communities should encourage local residents to purchase and maintain flood insurance.

Critical Facilities in Delineated Hazard Areas

Note that all assets and facilities in the City of Brigantine are considered to be at risk from County-wide hazards: Hurricane/Tropical Storm, Nor' easter, Extreme Wind, Tornado, Winter Weather, Lightning, Extreme Temperatures and Earthquake.

Facility Name	Location	Facility Type	Flood, A Zone	Flood, V Zone (Wave)	Category 1-4 Storm Surge	Coastal Erosion	Sea Level Rise, 1 Ft	Sea Level Rise, 3 Ft	Dam Failure	Low to Moderate Wildfire	High to Extreme Wildfire
		City of Brigantine									
Brigantine Fire Dept.	1417 West Brigantine Avenue	Fire Station	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Brigantine City	1417 W Brigantine Ave	Police Station	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Brigantine City	38 St & Bayshore Ave	Public Works	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Brigantine Elementary School	301 E. Evans Blvd.	School	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Brigantine North School	301 E. Evans Blvd.	School	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Philip the Apostle	42nd & Brigantine Ave	School	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total		5	0	6	0	0	1	0	0	0

Summary of Estimated Potential Losses from Hazards

Estimated annual losses provided in this section are based on best available data, and the methodologies applied result in an approximation of risk. Loss estimates should be used to understand relative risk from hazards.

Uncertainties are inherent in any loss estimation methodology, arising in part from incomplete scientific knowledge concerning natural hazards and their effects on the built environment. Uncertainties also result from approximations and simplifications that are necessary for a comprehensive analysis (i.e., incomplete inventories, demographics or economic parameters

It is important to note that this table reflects estimates of average annual damages. For any hazard, individual event damages could be substantially (orders of magnitude) higher.

Only hazards for which annual losses were quantified and presented in Section 3C are included in this table.

Summary of Estimated Potential Losses From Hazards							
	City of Brigantine						
Quantified Hazard Annualized Loss Annual Loss Ratio							
Extreme Wind	\$443,000	0.033%					
Hurricane and Tropical Storm	\$1,921,000	0.080%					
Lightning	\$3,800	0.000%					
Tornado	\$1,100	0.000%					
Drought*	\$0	0.000%					
Winter Storm	\$12,100	0.001%					
Coastal Erosion**	\$161,000	0.020%					
Flooding (Riverine)	\$0	0.000%					
Storm Surge (Coastal Flooding)	\$33,742,000	1.320%					
Earthquake	\$19,000	0.001%					

^{*}Derived from crop values



^{**}Assuming absence of current beach nourishment and stabilization actions.

Outreach to the Public and Other Stakeholders

As part of this 2022 Plan Update, Brigantine undertook various activities to: (a) alert the public and other stakeholders to the fact that the HMP Planning Committee was working to develop the update; and (b) provide the public and other stakeholders with a forum to ask questions and submit comments and suggestions on the process. Outreach activities undertaken by Brigantine included those listed below. comments were recorded as a result of the outreach activities.

Outreach Activities						
Date of Activity	Type of Activity	Activity Details	Lead Department and/or Staff Title who Undertook Activity			
May 2021	Social Media Blitz	Several posts on social media in relation to Hurricane Preparedness week. Including how to sign up for alerts for weather related info and general information from OEM	Brigantine FD Captain Tim Daley / Deputy Brigantine OEM			
June 2021	NIXLE test	Sent out a test to all registered NIXLE subscribers to test the system	Brigantine PD Sgt. Jack Glasser / Deputy OEM			
July 2021	PPI and CRS Floodplain meeting	Met with city officials to discuss the upcoming review of the HMP.	City Manager – OEM personnel – City Engineer – Hired consultant			
Aug 2021	National Night Out	Set up a booth to hand out Hazard and Flood preparedness materials. Supplied a scan and directions for signing up for the NIXLE notification system	Brigantine OEM personnel			
Sept 2021	Public Flood Plain Review	Met with city officials and public members of the PPI committee. Discussed public portion of the HMP and recorded their input	City Manager – OEM personnel – City Engineer – Hired consultant- Members of the public who volunteered for committee			

Capability Assessment

This section describes the following capabilities of Brigantine:

- Legal and regulatory capability
- Administrative and technical capability
- Fiscal capability
- Community resiliency
- Community political capability

As part of the 2022 Plan update, each participating jurisdiction reevaluated the capabilities they had provided for the 2016 Plan, and sent updates to the consultant for incorporation into this plan section. Brigantine has reviewed its responses from the 2016 Plan and has updated its prior feedback to reflect present-day conditions.

Legal and Regulatory Capability				
Regulatory Tools (Codes, Ordinances, Plans)	Do you have this?			
Building Code	Y			
Zoning Ordinance	Y			
Subdivision Ordinance	Y			
Special Purposes Ordinance	Υ			
Growth Management Ordinance	Y			
Site Plan Review Requirements	Y			
Comprehensive Plan	Y			
Capital Improvements Plan	Y			
Economic Development Plan	Y			
Emergency Response Plan	Y			
Post-Disaster Recovery Plan	Y			
Post-Disaster Recovery Ordinance	N			
Real Estate Disclosure Ordinance	Y			
Evacuation Plan	N			

Administrative and Technical Capability				
Regulatory Tools (Codes, Ordinances, Plans)	Do you have this?			
Planner(s) with knowledge of land development and management practices	N			
Engineer(s) with knowledge of land development and management practices	N			
Planner(s) or engineer(s) with knowledge of land development and management practices	Y			
Engineer(s) or professional(s) trained in construction practices related to buildings and/or infrastructure	Υ			
Planner(s) or engineer(s) with an understanding of natural and/or human caused hazards	Υ			
Floodplain manager	Y			
Surveyors	Y			
Staff with education or expertise to assess the community's vulnerability to hazards	Y			
Personnel skilled in GIS and/or HAZUS	Υ			
Scientists familiar with the hazards of the community	N			
Emergency Manager	Υ			
Code Enforcement Official	N			
Public Works or Highway Superintendent	N			
Emergency Management Coordinator	N			

Fiscal Capability			
Financial Resources	Accessible or Eligible to use		
Community Development Block Grants (CDBG)	Y		
Capital Improvements Project Funding	Y		
Authority to Levy Taxes for Specific Purposes	Y		
Fees for Water, Sewer, Gas, or Electric Service			
Impact Fees for Homebuyers or Developers for New Developments/Homes	Y		
Incur Debt through General Obligation Funds	Υ		
Incur Debt through Special Tax and Revenue Bonds			
Incur Debt through Private Activity Bonds N			
Withhold Spending in Hazard-Prone Areas N			
Other (i.e., coastal, sustainability, or climate change initiatives; or Brownfield funds)	N		



Would-you- classify-your- community's- <u>legal-and-</u> <u>regulatory-</u> capability-to- implement- hazard- mitigation- strategies-as- high,- moderate,-or- low?¤	Would-you- classify-your- community's- overall- technical- capability-to- implement- hazard- mitigation- strategies-as- high,- moderate,-or- low?#	Would-you- classify-your- community's- overall- <u>fiscal</u> - capability-to- implement- hazard- mitigation- strategies-as- high,- moderate,-or- low?¤	Would-you- classify-your- community's- overall- administrative- capability-to- implement- hazard- mitigation- strategies-as- high,- moderate,-or- low?#	Would-you-classify-your- political-leadership's- willingness-to-enact- policies-and-programs- that-reduce-hazard- vulnerabilities-in-your- community-as-high,- moderate,-or-loweven- if-met-with-opposition?- (i.e.,-guiding- development-away-from- identified-hazard-areas)?¤
MODERATE	MODERATE	MODERATE	MODERATE	MODERATE#

Discuss-ways-of-bridging-identified-gaps-in-your-local-capabilities-to-ensure-that-they-are-in-line-with-your-mitigation-actions-and-goals.¤

We-have-a-good-working-relationship-with-all-members-of-council, department-heads-and-other-NGO-entities. As-items-arise-(such-as-the-need-to-raise-the-normal-1st-floor-elevation, all-parties-are-brought-into-the-discussion-to-forge-the-solution. If-additional-people(je:-divisions-within-the-city), employees-are-given-the-task-or-a-consultant-is-brought-on-board.

П

PPI-committee· has-enabled· city· officials· as- well· as- hired· consultants· to· get· real· timeinformation-from-the-public.· The-learned-information-can-then-be-applied-to-any-ongoingprojects.¤

Plan Integration

It is the intention of Brigantine to incorporate mitigation planning as an integral component of daily municipal operations. The balance of this subsection describes local accomplishments over the last planning cycle (2016-2021), and targeted activities for the next planning cycle (2022-2027), as reported by the city's CPG member and shared with the consultant for incorporation here.

<u>Demonstration of Progress over the Last Planning Cycle (2016-2021)</u> – Brigantine reported the following actions as plan integration plan integration mechanisms over the last planning cycle:

Installation of Emergency Generators - Completed

The City of Brigantine is served by three sanitary sewer lift stations, three potable wells and two stormwater pumps, which all required emergency generators. In addition, the police/fire/Emergency Management Office did not have an emergency generator. Finally, City Hall is used for emergency purposes during storms, hurricanes, electric outages and other natural disasters, and a generator was required for this building as well. All of these facilities required emergency generators so that service can be provided during emergency situations.

The following emergency generators were recently installed:

- 1. A Station Sewer Lift Station, 100 Bayshore Avenue
- 2. Potable Well #9, 4201 Bayshore Avenue
- 3. Potable Well #7, 203 Vernon Place
- 4. Caverly Drive Stormwater Pump Station, Sheridan Boulevard at Caverly Drive (shares a portable generator with Lincoln Drive)
- 5. Lincoln Drive Stormwater Pump Station, Lincoln Drive at Caverly Drive (portable)
- 6. Police/Fire/Emergency Medical Services, 1417 West Brigantine Avenue

Bulkheads - Completed

This project included replacement of various deteriorated timber bulkheads with vinyl bulkheads or living shorelines to raise the elevation to 9 feet. New vinyl bulkheads or living shorelines were installed at:

- 1. Cherokee Boulevard
- 2. Unnamed Street End adjacent to 4104 Atlantic Boulevard
- 3. Unnamed Street End adjacent to 4200 Atlantic Boulevard
- 4. 24th Street South
- 5. 6th Street South

Replacement of Well #9 - Completed

Well #9 is located at 42^{nd} Street and Bayshore Avenue and serves the entire south section of the island of Brigantine. This water-supply system was close to being impacted by Superstorm Sandy since flood waters reached the top of the well system due to the existing low elevation. State regulations require that the well head be 18 inches above the flood elevation. The new Well #9 now meets this requirement to ensure that future storms will not jeopardize the City's water system.

Pump Station and Flood Gates at Boat Ramp at 5th Street South - Completed

This project was listed as Community Action No. 2 in the 2016 Atlantic County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. The Boat Ramp is located at 5th Street South and Bayshore Avenues.

Historically, street flooding led to damage to surrounding homes, businesses, and vehicles. This project included a pump station and emergency generator to service the stormwater needs of this area along with waterproofing the boat ramp by installing floodgates. Also included was the elevation of the boat ramp apron and Bayshore Avenue to reduce flooding.

Pump Stations at Hackney Place, 34th Street South, Jenkins Parkway - Completed

The City installed a stormwater pump station in 1980 and alleviated the flooding in one area. Two additional stormwater pump stations were installed in 2007 with funding support from FEMA. Three additional stormwater management projects were completed recently. Each of the pump stations described below included an emergency generator to ensure operation during electric power outages:

- 1. New Lighthouse Circle Stormwater Pump Station This project was listed as Community Action No. 6 in the 2016 Atlantic County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. The new pump station is located at 34th Street and Bayshore Avenue. This pump serves a drainage area that includes portions of Brigantine Boulevard, the only access route off of the island.
- 2. New Hackney Place Stormwater Pump Station This project was listed as Community Action No. 5 in the 2016 Atlantic County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. The new pump station is located off of West Shore Drive in the Golf Course Section of the City.
- 3. New Pump Station at Jenkins Parkway, 12th Street North.

Pump Station at 6th Street North and East Evans Boulevard - Completed

The city constructed a new stormwater pump station at the intersection of 6th Street North and East Evans Boulevard. The station includes an emergency generator, all constructed above 500 yr. flood elevation.

<u>Targeted Plan Integration Activities for the Next Planning Cycle (2022-2027)</u> – Brigantine reported the following actions as integration mechanisms to be implemented from this point forward through the next planning cycle:

South End Flood Control Improvements

Currently the outlet structure that serves the Ocean Drive and Lagoon Boulevard section of the Inlet area of the City is totally clogged and non-functional. The current 60-inch outfall pipe is buried, and the system no longer functions as designed. It is estimated that the current system operates at or near 25 percent capacity, resulting in localized flooding. This project calls for a new outlet system to be designed that will reroute stormwater within the Seaport Area Drainage Basin to a new outfall.

This project is designed to improve and protect groundwater, as well as provide for a functional stormwater system.

Golf Course Neighborhood Improvements

This project will provide for the removal of accumulated sand from municipal drainage basins in the Golf Course Section of the City of Brigantine. The Golf Course Section of the City was significantly flooded by Superstorm Sandy, and silts and sand washed into the underground drainage system. This project is designed to improve and protect groundwater, as well as provide for a functional stormwater system.

The entire Golf Course Section of the City was under water during and after Superstorm Sandy. More than 32,000 cubic yards of sand and silts washed into the drainage system, making it inoperable. The planned improvements will help to prevent future damage caused by natural disasters. By making these improvements, the need for emergency protective and public-works services in this area will be reduced. Damage to roads and structures in the area will be reduced, and property owners will suffer fewer instances of being denied access/egress to their properties. In addition, this project will provide adequate environmental infrastructure to improve resiliency of Sandy-damaged systems in future natural disasters.

Bulkhead Reconstruction at City Dock located at 26th Street South

The City Dock is located at 26th Street South and provides for kayaking, boating, swimming, and other water sports. The City Dock was severely damaged by Superstorm Sandy and has not been operational since the storm.

The project includes replacing the bulkhead that is severely damaged and raising it from 7 feet to 9 feet along the park water frontage. The entire project will occur on public property. The public benefits of this project are to protect critical public infrastructure, namely the City Dock and Bayshore Avenue, reduce flooding in this low-lying area and improve access for emergency services to residents and visitors.

Bulkheads

Bulkheads provide a crucial aspect of the City's flood protection infrastructure. Bulkheads keep sediment and fill in place and help to attenuate wave action from water bodies. Bulkheads are found along nearly the entirety of Brigantine's back bay area. Some bulkheads owned by the City, though most are owned by private property owners with a home or marina upland of the Boardwalk. Over the years, these bulkheads fell into varying states of disrepair and were constructed to various heights, resulting in inconsistent protection.

Since Superstorm Sandy, the City has strived to replace existing bulkheads with those that comply with its standards. In 2018, the City took another step by designating the back-bay waterfront area as an "Area in Need of Redevelopment". The Redevelopment Area designation will allow the City to enter into redevelopment agreements with waterfront property owners to fix and elevate bulkheads. This enables financial arrangements, such as the City fixing bulkheads and placing a special assessment on waterfront properties.

Chapter 127 of the City Code addresses bulkheads, setting the minimum height and construction/design standards. Currently oceanfront properties are required to have a bulkhead of 11 feet above Mean Sea level and nine feet above Mean Sea Level in all other areas (including the back-bay waterfront). The 14th Street South bulkhead is being permitted and is fully funded. The City has identified bulkhead replacement as a priority and will continue to advocate and facilitate bulkhead replacements.

Mitigation Strategy

This subsection sets forth the mitigation strategy for Brigantine. It describes, in the following order:

- Progress on 2016 Plan Actions
- Hazard Mitigation Accomplishments
- Proposed 2022 Plan Mitigation Actions
- Action Worksheets

Progress on 2016 Plan Actions

The following table was completed by the Brigantine JAT Committee Members. It summarizes the progress that was made on the local hazard mitigation initiatives that were set forth in the last version of the plan in 2016.

Brigantine has identified no major changes in priorities since the last version of the plan.

	PROGRESS ON 2016 HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN INITIATIVES											
						Stat	tus			Relev	/ance	
2016 Plan Initiative Number	Mitigation Initiative Description	2016 Plan Priority	Hazard(s) Mitigated	Lead and Support Agencies	Completed	Initiated but Not Completed	Ongoing Type of Activity	Not Initiated	Status Details	Still Relevant - Carry Forward to Updated Mitigation Strategy	No Longer Relevant - Omit from Updated Mitigation Strategy	Relevance Details
1	Periodic review of plans and codes, and changes (Post Sandy inspections and damages revealed items in the local codes that needed to be adjusted to mitigate potential damages and loss of life)	High	All	Code Enforcement/ Construction Official			х			х		Continue to periodically review plans and codes.
2	Modify existing boat ramp to install a wooden flood gate	High	Flooding	City Engineer	х						х	
3	Maintain active training schedules for all relative officials ('Continuing training on State and Local laws and codes)	High	All	Code Enforcement/ Construction Official			Х			х		Maintain active training schedules for all relative officials ('Continuing training on State and Local laws and codes)
4	Revised Flood Prevention codes, construction codes and planning guidance	High	All	City Engineer/ Floodplain Manager/ Construction Official			Х			х		Continue to revise Flood Prevention codes, construction codes and planning guidance as needed.
5	Repair and upgrade storm drain infrastructure, installation of flood pump station at bulkhead/street end (Hackney Place)	High	Flooding	City Engineer	х						х	Completed as per Worksheet 6
6	Repair and upgrade storm drain infrastructure, installation of flood pump station at bulkhead/street end (34th St)	High	Flooding	City Engineer	х						х	Completed as per Worksheet 6
7	Utilize existing "reverse 911", Nixle service, social media accounts, local emergency AM radio system. Ensure local websites are up to date and information linked to ACOEM	High	All	Local OEM Staff			х			х		Continue to utilize existing "reverse 911", Nixle service, social media accounts, local emergency AM radio system. Ensure local websites are up to date and information linked to ACOEM

Hazard Mitigation Accomplishments (2016-2021)

The State of New Jersey 2019 HMP (Section 3, Page 3-30) requires identification of mitigation activities as an essential element of a local plan review. Information on the status of long-range mitigation strategies shall be contained in the original plan. Self-funded mitigation initiatives as well as past FEMA funded projects should be documented. The following table summarizes past mitigation accomplishments in Brigantine since the last version of the plan was adopted in 2016.

Past Mitigation Accomplishments					
	State	us		Relationship to	
Project	Approved/Active Year	Completed Year	Funding Source	Plan	
Modify existing boat ramp to install a wooden flood gate	2016	2020	Use of local capital improvement funds	In the Plan	

Multiple mitigation and related accomplishments were also reported by the city as plan integration actions (see "Plan Integration" above.

Proposed 2022 Plan Mitigation Actions

The process for selection and prioritization of mitigation actions is described in greater detail in Section 6 of the main text. The outcomes of that overall process are summarized here.

This plan proposes the actions determined to be the most appropriate for the resources and capabilities of Brigantine based on the experience of local officials, with input from the public and other stakeholders. Potential actions were reviewed relative to potential financial as well as administrative and legal costs and the degree to which they would be endorsed by the public. Potential actions were reviewed during the meetings relative to their potential benefit of effectiveness in saving lives, protecting the natural environment, and reducing disruption and damage. Actions selected by each jurisdiction include activities to protect existing and future structure and infrastructure and enhance community resilience.

Part of enhancing community resilience involves adapting to a changing climate. This plan's risk assessment includes information on climate change as part of the hazard vulnerability analysis and contain strategies/projects to address increased vulnerability that may result from climate change. By developing mitigating strategies and/or projects for hazards that are exacerbated by climate change, jurisdictions will better protect residents, avoid, or reduce damage to property and public infrastructure, and reduce personal hardship. Previous sections of this plan have presented information on how climate change may affect jurisdictional vulnerability or increased frequency of occurrence and/or severity in exposure to the identified hazards. Climate change is addressed by mitigating the various hazards that it exacerbates. Brigantine has proposed a range of hazard mitigation initiatives to address their highest hazards including those hazards that are exacerbated by a changing climate.

Action priorities were determined by a qualitative prioritization process. A higher priority was assigned to projects where: the life/safety risk of taking no action was deemed to be unacceptably high; the project addresses one of the community's highest hazards and/or key risks; benefits were projected to equal or exceed project costs; critical facilities or key local assets were being protected; funding and staff resources were deemed to be sufficient and/or accessible for project implementation; the project is technically feasible and the community determined their legal authority to implement the action; negative environmental and/or social impacts were not identified during the assessment, or were very minimal; and/or where there was overall support for the project from the local community (government officials, public, and stakeholders).

Detailed Action Worksheets for each action item in the strategy are presented in the following pages.

	Action Worksheet
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	City of Brigantine
Community action number	1
	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	All Hazards (i.e., wind, flooding)
Risk finding	Post Sandy inspections and damages revealed items in the local codes that
	needed to be adjusted to mitigate potential damages and loss of life
	Describing the Action
Action category	Prevention
Action type	Review of comprehensive natural disaster plans and resiliency techniques
Action description	Periodic review of plans and codes, and changes
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing
	Evaluating the Action
Losses avoided	Mitigate and minimize flooding and damage to property and life
(i.e., benefits)	
Cost estimate	Minimal cost for reviews as we are using a governmental agency
Cost effectiveness	As there is virtually no cost to us, any benefits derived from improved resiliency
(i.e., benefit/cost)	measures.
Technical	Technically feasible, no impediments
Political	We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests
Legal	No apparent legal issues
Environmental	No adverse effects, any potential issues are approved by NJDEP or CAFRA before
	being approved by local Government body
Social	No adverse effects
Administrative capability	Local capability, but outside agencies for design, construction and legal guidance
Local champion	City Council, Code/Building Official, City Engineer
Other community objectives	Maintain all ordinances and codes so that they are in line with State laws
	Implementing the Action
Priority	HIGH
Local planning mechanism	Planning Board, Ordinance changes, Code Enforcement Officials
Responsible party	Code Enforcement/Construction Official
Potential funding sources	Budgetary line items
Time line	On Going
	Reporting on Progress
Action progress status	On Going

	Action Worksheet
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	City of Brigantine
Community action number	2
	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	Flooding (Tidal and Rain)
Risk finding	There may be areas that can be targeted for Blue Acres Acquisition primarily on
	Brigantine Avenue, Harbor Beach Boulevard and East Evans Avenue.
	Describing the Action
Action category	Natural Resource Protection
Action type	Acquisition
Action description	There may be areas that can be targeted for Blue Acres Acquisition primarily on
	Brigantine Avenue, Harbor Beach Boulevard and East Evans Avenue.
Existing, future &/or NA	Future Development
	Evaluating the Action
Losses avoided	Effective in preventing development in floodprone areas.
(i.e., benefits)	
Cost estimate	NA, depends on the parcel, All cost to be funded by Blue Acres
Cost effectiveness	Reduction of repetitive loss claims, reduction of continual DPW costs and
(i.e., benefit/cost)	eligibility for CRS credits leading to lower NFIP rates for all residents and
	businesses
Technical	Technically feasible, Mitigates tidal and rainfall flooding for the long term
Political	We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests
Legal	No apparent legal issues
Environmental	No adverse effects, full permitting has been granted by NJDEP and CAFRA
Social	No adverse effects
Administrative capability	Local capability, but outside agencies for design and construction
Local champion	City Council, Committee(Floodplain) and Residents
Other community objectives	It will also mitigate flooding in local evacuation routes
	Implementing the Action
Priority	HIGH
Local planning mechanism	NJDEP Blue Acres Program
Responsible party	NJDEP Blue Acres Program
Potential funding sources	NJDEP Blue Acres Program
Time line	2024
	Reporting on Progress
Action progress status	New action

	Action Worksheet
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	City of Brigantine
Community action number	3
	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	All Hazards (i.e.: wind, flooding)
Risk finding	Continue to revise local codes that needed to be adjusted to mitigate potential
-	damages and loss of life
	Describing the Action
Action category	Education
Action type	Continuing training on State and Local laws and codes
Action description	Maintain active training schedules for all relative officials
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing
	Evaluating the Action
Losses avoided	Property damage from wind and flooding is mitigated by adopting new or
(i.e., benefits)	updated building codes(i.e.: 3 feet of Free Board, elevation heights to current
	ABFE Maps)
Cost estimate	Minimal cost for training
Cost effectiveness	Reduction of repetitive loss claims, CRS credits leading to lower NFIP rates for all
(i.e., benefit/cost)	residents and businesses
Technical	Technically feasible, no impediments
Political	We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests
Legal	No apparent legal issues
Environmental	No adverse effects, any potential issues are approved by NJDEP or CAFRA before
	being approved by local Government body
Social	No adverse effects
Administrative capability	Local capability, but outside agencies for design, construction and legal guidance
Local champion	City Council, Code/Building Official, City Engineer
Other community objectives	Maintain all ordinances and codes so that they are in line with State laws
	Implementing the Action
Priority	HIGH
Local planning mechanism	Planning Board, Ordinance changes, Code Enforcement Officials
Responsible party	Code Enforcement/Construction Official
Potential funding sources	Budgetary line items
Time line	On Going
	Reporting on Progress
Action progress status	On Going

(i.e., benefit/cost)residents and businessesTechnicalTechnically feasible, no impedimentsPoliticalWe have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interestsLegalNo apparent legal issues		Action Worksheet
Assessing the Risk Hazard(s) addressed All Hazards (i.e.: wind, flooding) Risk finding Continue to revise local codes that needed to be adjusted to mitigate potential damages and loss of life Describing the Action Action category Planning Action type Code update Action description Revised Flood Prevention codes, construction codes and planning guidance Existing, future &/or NA Future along with substantially damage or lifted structures Evaluating the Action Losses avoided Property damage from wind and flooding is mitigated by adopting new or updated building codes(i.e.: 3 feet of Free Board, elevation heights to current ABFE Maps) Cost estimate Approximately \$3-5,000 for outside counsel and consultants Cost effectiveness Reduction of repetitive loss claims, CRS credits leading to lower NFIP rates for all residents and businesses Technical Technically feasible, no impediments Political We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests Legal No apparent legal issues Environmental No adverse effects, any potential issues are approved by NJDEP or CAFRA before being approved by local Government body Social No adverse effects	Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Assessing the Risk Hazard(s) addressed All Hazards (i.e.: wind, flooding) Continue to revise local codes that needed to be adjusted to mitigate potential damages and loss of life Describing the Action Action category Action type Code update Action description Revised Flood Prevention codes, construction codes and planning guidance Existing, future &/or NA Future along with substantially damage or lifted structures Evaluating the Action Losses avoided Property damage from wind and flooding is mitigated by adopting new or updated building codes(i.e.: 3 feet of Free Board, elevation heights to current ABFE Maps) Cost estimate Approximately \$3-5,000 for outside counsel and consultants Cost effectiveness Reduction of repetitive loss claims, CRS credits leading to lower NFIP rates for all (i.e., benefit/cost) Technical Technically feasible, no impediments Political We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests Legal No apparent legal issues Environmental No adverse effects, any potential issues are approved by NJDEP or CAFRA before being approved by local Government body Social	Your community name	City of Brigantine
Hazard(s) addressed Risk finding Continue to revise local codes that needed to be adjusted to mitigate potential damages and loss of life Describing the Action Action category Planning Action description Revised Flood Prevention codes, construction codes and planning guidance Existing, future &/or NA Future along with substantially damage or lifted structures Evaluating the Action Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Property damage from wind and flooding is mitigated by adopting new or updated building codes(i.e.: 3 feet of Free Board, elevation heights to current ABFE Maps) Cost estimate Approximately \$3-5,000 for outside counsel and consultants Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost) Technical Technical Technically feasible, no impediments Political We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests Legal No apparent legal issues Environmental No adverse effects, any potential issues are approved by NJDEP or CAFRA before being approved by local Government body Social	Community action number	4
Risk finding Continue to revise local codes that needed to be adjusted to mitigate potential damages and loss of life Describing the Action Action category Action type Action description Revised Flood Prevention codes, construction codes and planning guidance Existing, future &/or NA Future along with substantially damage or lifted structures Evaluating the Action Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Property damage from wind and flooding is mitigated by adopting new or updated building codes(i.e.: 3 feet of Free Board, elevation heights to current ABFE Maps) Cost estimate Approximately \$3-5,000 for outside counsel and consultants Cost effectiveness Reduction of repetitive loss claims, CRS credits leading to lower NFIP rates for all residents and businesses Technical Technical Technically feasible, no impediments Political We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests Legal No apparent legal issues Environmental No adverse effects, any potential issues are approved by NJDEP or CAFRA before being approved by local Government body Social		Assessing the Risk
Describing the Action Action category Planning Action type Code update Action description Revised Flood Prevention codes, construction codes and planning guidance Existing, future &/or NA Future along with substantially damage or lifted structures Evaluating the Action Losses avoided Property damage from wind and flooding is mitigated by adopting new or updated building codes(i.e.: 3 feet of Free Board, elevation heights to current ABFE Maps) Cost estimate Approximately \$3-5,000 for outside counsel and consultants Cost effectiveness Reduction of repetitive loss claims, CRS credits leading to lower NFIP rates for all residents and businesses Technical Technically feasible, no impediments Political We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests Legal No apparent legal issues Environmental No adverse effects, any potential issues are approved by NJDEP or CAFRA before being approved by local Government body Social No adverse effects	Hazard(s) addressed	All Hazards (i.e.: wind, flooding)
Action category Planning Code update Action description Revised Flood Prevention codes, construction codes and planning guidance Existing, future &/or NA Future along with substantially damage or lifted structures Evaluating the Action	Risk finding	Continue to revise local codes that needed to be adjusted to mitigate potential
Action type Action type Action description Existing, future &/or NA Future along with substantially damage or lifted structures Evaluating the Action Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Cost estimate Approximately \$3-5,000 for outside counsel and consultants Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost) Technical Political We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests No adverse effects No adverse effects Revised Flood Prevention codes, construction codes and planning guidance Evaluating the Action Future along with substantially damage or lifted structures Evaluating the Action Property damage from wind and flooding is mitigated by adopting new or updated building codes(i.e.: 3 feet of Free Board, elevation heights to current ABFE Maps) Cost estimate Approximately \$3-5,000 for outside counsel and consultants Reduction of repetitive loss claims, CRS credits leading to lower NFIP rates for all residents and businesses Technical Technically feasible, no impediments No apparent legal issues Environmental No adverse effects, any potential issues are approved by NJDEP or CAFRA before being approved by local Government body Social		damages and loss of life
Action type Action description Revised Flood Prevention codes, construction codes and planning guidance Existing, future &/or NA Future along with substantially damage or lifted structures Evaluating the Action Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Property damage from wind and flooding is mitigated by adopting new or updated building codes(i.e.: 3 feet of Free Board, elevation heights to current ABFE Maps) Cost estimate Approximately \$3-5,000 for outside counsel and consultants Cost effectiveness Reduction of repetitive loss claims, CRS credits leading to lower NFIP rates for all residents and businesses Technical Technically feasible, no impediments Political We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests Legal No apparent legal issues Environmental No adverse effects, any potential issues are approved by NJDEP or CAFRA before being approved by local Government body Social		Describing the Action
Action description Revised Flood Prevention codes, construction codes and planning guidance Existing, future &/or NA Future along with substantially damage or lifted structures Evaluating the Action Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Property damage from wind and flooding is mitigated by adopting new or updated building codes(i.e.: 3 feet of Free Board, elevation heights to current ABFE Maps) Cost estimate Approximately \$3-5,000 for outside counsel and consultants Cost effectiveness Reduction of repetitive loss claims, CRS credits leading to lower NFIP rates for all residents and businesses Technical Technical Technically feasible, no impediments Political We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests Legal No apparent legal issues Environmental No adverse effects, any potential issues are approved by NJDEP or CAFRA before being approved by local Government body Social No adverse effects	Action category	Planning
Existing, future &/or NA Future along with substantially damage or lifted structures Evaluating the Action Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Property damage from wind and flooding is mitigated by adopting new or updated building codes(i.e.: 3 feet of Free Board, elevation heights to current ABFE Maps) Cost estimate Approximately \$3-5,000 for outside counsel and consultants Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost) Reduction of repetitive loss claims, CRS credits leading to lower NFIP rates for all residents and businesses Technical Technically feasible, no impediments Political We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests Legal No apparent legal issues Environmental No adverse effects, any potential issues are approved by NJDEP or CAFRA before being approved by local Government body Social	Action type	Code update
Evaluating the Action Losses avoided (i.e., benefits)	Action description	Revised Flood Prevention codes, construction codes and planning guidance
Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Property damage from wind and flooding is mitigated by adopting new or updated building codes(i.e.: 3 feet of Free Board, elevation heights to current ABFE Maps) Cost estimate Approximately \$3-5,000 for outside counsel and consultants Cost effectiveness Reduction of repetitive loss claims, CRS credits leading to lower NFIP rates for all residents and businesses Technical Technically feasible, no impediments Political We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests Legal No apparent legal issues Environmental No adverse effects, any potential issues are approved by NJDEP or CAFRA before being approved by local Government body No adverse effects	Existing, future &/or NA	Future along with substantially damage or lifted structures
(i.e., benefits) updated building codes(i.e.: 3 feet of Free Board, elevation heights to current ABFE Maps) Cost estimate Approximately \$3-5,000 for outside counsel and consultants Reduction of repetitive loss claims, CRS credits leading to lower NFIP rates for all residents and businesses Technical Technical Technically feasible, no impediments Political We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests Legal No apparent legal issues Environmental No adverse effects, any potential issues are approved by NJDEP or CAFRA before being approved by local Government body No adverse effects		Evaluating the Action
Cost estimate Approximately \$3-5,000 for outside counsel and consultants Cost effectiveness Reduction of repetitive loss claims, CRS credits leading to lower NFIP rates for all residents and businesses Technical Technical Political We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests Legal No apparent legal issues Environmental No adverse effects, any potential issues are approved by NJDEP or CAFRA before being approved by local Government body No adverse effects	Losses avoided	Property damage from wind and flooding is mitigated by adopting new or
Cost estimate Approximately \$3-5,000 for outside counsel and consultants Reduction of repetitive loss claims, CRS credits leading to lower NFIP rates for all residents and businesses Technical Technical Technically feasible, no impediments Political We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests Legal No apparent legal issues Environmental No adverse effects, any potential issues are approved by NJDEP or CAFRA before being approved by local Government body Social No adverse effects	(i.e., benefits)	updated building codes(i.e.: 3 feet of Free Board, elevation heights to current
Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost) Reduction of repetitive loss claims, CRS credits leading to lower NFIP rates for all residents and businesses Technical Technically feasible, no impediments Political We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests Legal No apparent legal issues Environmental No adverse effects, any potential issues are approved by NJDEP or CAFRA before being approved by local Government body Social No adverse effects		ABFE Maps)
(i.e., benefit/cost) residents and businesses Technical Technically feasible, no impediments Political We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests Legal No apparent legal issues Environmental No adverse effects, any potential issues are approved by NJDEP or CAFRA before being approved by local Government body Social No adverse effects	Cost estimate	Approximately \$3-5,000 for outside counsel and consultants
Technical Technically feasible, no impediments Political We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests Legal No apparent legal issues Environmental No adverse effects, any potential issues are approved by NJDEP or CAFRA before being approved by local Government body Social No adverse effects	Cost effectiveness	Reduction of repetitive loss claims, CRS credits leading to lower NFIP rates for all
Political We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests Legal No apparent legal issues Environmental No adverse effects, any potential issues are approved by NJDEP or CAFRA before being approved by local Government body Social No adverse effects	(i.e., benefit/cost)	residents and businesses
Legal No apparent legal issues Environmental No adverse effects, any potential issues are approved by NJDEP or CAFRA before being approved by local Government body Social No adverse effects	Technical	Technically feasible, no impediments
Environmental No adverse effects, any potential issues are approved by NJDEP or CAFRA before being approved by local Government body Social No adverse effects	Political	We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests
being approved by local Government body Social No adverse effects	Legal	No apparent legal issues
Social No adverse effects	Environmental	No adverse effects, any potential issues are approved by NJDEP or CAFRA before
"		
Administrative capability Local capability, but outside agencies for design, construction and legal guidance	Social	
	Administrative capability	Local capability, but outside agencies for design, construction and legal guidance
Local champion City Council, Code/Building Official, City Engineer	Local champion	City Council, Code/Building Official, City Engineer
Other community objectives Reduction in NFIP rates with CRS credits and lower Flood insurance for all	Other community objectives	Reduction in NFIP rates with CRS credits and lower Flood insurance for all
properties		properties
Implementing the Action		Implementing the Action
Priority HIGH	Priority	HIGH
Local planning mechanism Planning Board, Ordinance changes, Code Enforcement Officials	Local planning mechanism	Planning Board, Ordinance changes, Code Enforcement Officials
Responsible party City Engineer/Floodplain Manager/Construction Official	Responsible party	City Engineer/Floodplain Manager/Construction Official
Potential funding sources Budgetary line items	Potential funding sources	Budgetary line items
Time line On Going	Time line	On Going
Reporting on Progress		Reporting on Progress
Action progress status On Going	Action progress status	On Going

Action Worksheet		
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan	
Your community name	City of Brigantine	
Community action number	5	
	Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed	Flooding (Tidal and Rain)	
Risk finding	Emergency generator are needed in various locations	
	Describing the Action	
Action category	Infrastructure	
Action type	Flood mitigation, reduction	
Action description	Emergency Generator Installation-City Hall, South End Sewer Lift Station, Jenkins	
	Parkway Sewer, Harbor Beach Boulevard Stormwater Lift Station, Public Works	
	SCADA Control Center, 12 th Street Stormwater Pumping Station.	
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing structures	
Evaluating the Action		
Losses avoided	Loss of electric, down time for pump stations.	
(i.e., benefits)		
Cost estimate	250000 @	
Cost effectiveness	Reduction of repetitive loss claims, reduction of continual DPW costs and	
(i.e., benefit/cost)	eligibility for CRS credits leading to lower NFIP rates for all residents and	
	businesses	
Technical	Technically feasible, Mitigates tidal and rainfall flooding for the long term	
Political	We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests	
Legal	No apparent legal issues	
Environmental	No adverse effects, full permitting has been granted by NJDEP and CAFRA	
Social	No adverse effects	
Administrative capability	Local capability, but outside agencies for design and construction	
Local champion	City Council, Committee(Floodplain) and Residents	
Other community objectives	It will also mitigate flooding in local evacuation routes	
Implementing the Action		
Priority	HIGH	
Local planning mechanism	Capital Improvement Plan	
Responsible party	City Engineer	
Potential funding sources	FEMA, NJDEP, NJIT, etc.	
Time line	2024	
	Reporting on Progress	
Action progress status	New Action	

Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	City of Brigantine
Community action number	6
	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	Flooding (Tidal and Rain)
Risk finding	Check valves and outlet structures may be not operating as designed.
	Describing the Action
Action category	Infrastructure
Action type	Flood mitigation, reduction
Action description	Inspect all check valves and replace/repair as needed.
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing structures
	Evaluating the Action
Losses avoided	Reduce/eliminate flooding to roadways and homes
(i.e., benefits)	
Cost estimate	\$250,000
Cost effectiveness	Reduction of repetitive loss claims, reduction of continual DPW costs and
(i.e., benefit/cost)	eligibility for CRS credits leading to lower NFIP rates for all residents and
	businesses
Technical	Technically feasible, Mitigates tidal and rainfall flooding for the long term
Political	We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests
Legal	No apparent legal issues
Environmental	No adverse effects.
Social	No adverse effects
Administrative capability	Local capability, but outside agencies for design and construction
Local champion	City Council, Committee(Floodplain) and Residents
Other community objectives	It will also mitigate flooding in local evacuation routes
Implementing the Action	
Priority	HIGH
Local planning mechanism	Capital Improvement Plan
Responsible party	City Engineer
Potential funding sources	FEMA, NJDEP, NJIT, etc.
Time line	2024
	Reporting on Progress
Action progress status	New Action

Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	City of Brigantine
Community action number	7
	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	All Hazards
Risk finding	In previous years, pertinent information and warnings were not disseminated as
	widely as possible, information on our websites were not linked to ACOEM
	Describing the Action
Action category	Public Education
Action type	Public Information
Action description	Utilize existing "reverse 911", Nixle service, social media accounts, local
	emergency AM radio system. Ensure local websites are up to date and
	information linked to AC Install City-Wide Warning System/Implement IPAWS
	notifications using cell towersOEM. Install remotely actuated road flood barrier
	warning system
Existing, future &/or NA	N/A
	Evaluating the Action
Losses avoided	Potential is there to mitigate loss of life and property. By notifying residents and
(i.e., benefits)	providing information on a wide basis, we will give them the most opportunities
	to take appropriate actions. That would lead to a lower likelihood that our First
	Responders would be put in harms way
Cost estimate	Only ongoing cost is our Code Red system at approximately \$1300.00 per year.
Cost effectiveness	Maintenance on low power AM is minimal to mill. \$40,000 for IPAWS system.
	Highly cost effective, for a small outlay of funds, a much wider audience can be reached and reached in a near real time frame.
(i.e., benefit/cost) Technical	Technically feasible, no issues multiple employees on staff to utilize systems
Political	We have 100% support of City Commissioners, residential and commercial
Political	interests
Legal	No apparent legal issues
Environmental	No adverse effects
Social	No adverse effects
Administrative capability	Local capability
Local champion	Local OEM Office along with First Responders and City Administrative Staff
Other community objectives	Provide up to date, near real time information when needed
, ,	
Implementing the Action	
Priority	HIGH
Local planning mechanism	Local EOM Staff
Responsible party	Local OEM Staff
Potential funding sources	Budgetary line items
Time line	Ongoing
	Reporting on Progress
Action progress status	In progress

Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	City of Brigantine
Community action number	8
	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	All Hazards
Risk finding	Continue to work towards a lower CRS rating to reduce flood insurance cost for
	City property owners
	Describing the Action
Action category	Public Education
Action type	Planning
Action description	Continue to revise the Floodplain Management Plan, Repetitive Loss Analysis,
	Natural Resources Plan, Watershed Management Plan, mapping elevation
	certificates, and other actions.
Existing, future &/or NA	N/A
Evaluating the Action	
Losses avoided	Reduce the potential for flooding, improve planning for futher resiliency needs.
(i.e., benefits)	
Cost estimate	\$25,000
Cost effectiveness	Highly cost effective, for a small outlay of funds, a much wider audience can be
(i.e., benefit/cost)	reached and reached in a near real time frame.
Technical	Technically feasible, no issues multiple employees on staff to utilize systems
Political	We have 100% support of City Council, residential and commercial interests
Legal	No apparent legal issues
Environmental	No adverse effects
Social	No adverse effects
Administrative capability	Local capability
Local champion	Local OEM Office along with First Responders and City Administrative Staff
Other community objectives	Provide up to date, near real time information when needed
Implementing the Action	
Priority	HIGH
Local planning mechanism	Local EOP
Responsible party	Local OEM Staff
Potential funding sources	FEMA and local budget
Time line	Ongoing
	Reporting on Progress
Action progress status	Ongoing

Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	City Of Brigantine
Community action number	9
	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	All
Risk finding	Flooding in low lying areas
	Describing the Action
Action category	Human Life and structure and Infrastructure
Action type	preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources
Action description	Close bulkhead gaps; Elevate bulkheads (Public and Private) Poinsettia Way, Lilac
	Way, Golf Course, Pepper Cove, 13th Street North to 14th Street North, Bayside
	Gabion, 9th Street North to 5th Street North - Oceanside
Existing, future &/or NA	Future
Evaluating the Action	
Losses avoided	Damage to homes and infrastructure would be avoided.
(i.e., benefits)	
Cost estimate	\$1,500/lf
Cost effectiveness	Project is cost effective compared to overall health and safety to citizens and
(i.e., benefit/cost)	emergency workers.
Technical	Technically feasible
Political	Public support and council support
Legal	City has legal authority
Environmental	yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events
Social	none
Administrative capability	City Engineer
Local champion	City Council
Other community objectives	Serve as an environmental benefit.
	Implementing the Action
Priority	High
Local planning mechanism	City Engineer
Responsible party	administration
Potential funding sources	FEMA, NJDEP, NJIT, etc.
Time line	Pending funding
	Reporting on Progress
Action progress status	New action

Your community name City Of Brigantine Community action number Assessing the Risk Hazard(s) addressed All Risk finding Cocalized Flooding Cocalized Flooding Cocalized Flooding Describing the Action Action category Human Life and structure and Infrastructure Action type preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources Action description Continue the apply for FEMA Funding to elevate homes. Evisting, future &/or NA Future Evaluating the Action Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Cost estimate Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City. Project is cost effective compared to overall health and safety to citizens and emergency workers. Technical Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner pays all matching costs. Political public support and council support Legal City has authority Environmental yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events Social none Administrative capability Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners. Local champion City Council Other community objectives Implementing the Action	Action Worksheet	
Assessing the Risk Hazard(s) addressed All Risk finding Localized Flooding Describing the Action Action category Human Life and structure and Infrastructure Action type preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources Action description Continue the apply for FEMA Funding to elevate homes. Existing, future &/or NA Future Evaluating the Action Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Cost estimate Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City. Cost effectiveness Project is cost effective compared to overall health and safety to citizens and (i.e., benefit/cost) emergency workers. Technical Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner pays all matching costs. Political public support and council support Legal City has authority Environmental yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events Social none Administrative capability Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners. Local champion City Council Other community objectives Serve as an environmental benefit.	Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Assessing the Risk Hazard(s) addressed All Risk finding Localized Flooding Describing the Action Action category Human Life and structure and Infrastructure Action type preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources Action description Continue the apply for FEMA Funding to elevate homes. Existing, future &/or NA Future Evaluating the Action Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Cost estimate Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City. Cost effectiveness Project is cost effective compared to overall health and safety to citizens and emergency workers. Technical Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner pays all matching costs. Political public support and council support Legal City has authority Environmental yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events Social none Administrative capability Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners. Local champion City Council Other community objectives Possible Action All Risk finding Posgram is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners. Serve as an environmental benefit.	Your community name	City Of Brigantine
Hazard(s) addressed Risk finding Localized Flooding Describing the Action Action category Auman Life and structure and Infrastructure Action type preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources Action description Continue the apply for FEMA Funding to elevate homes. Existing, future &/or NA Future Evaluating the Action Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Cost estimate Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City. Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost) Project is cost effective compared to overall health and safety to citizens and emergency workers. Technical Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner pays all matching costs. Political Legal City has authority Environmental yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events Social Administrative capability Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners. Local champion City Council Other community objectives Serve as an environmental benefit.	Community action number	10
Hazard(s) addressed Risk finding Localized Flooding Describing the Action Action category Auman Life and structure and Infrastructure Action type preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources Action description Continue the apply for FEMA Funding to elevate homes. Existing, future &/or NA Future Evaluating the Action Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Cost estimate Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City. Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost) Project is cost effective compared to overall health and safety to citizens and emergency workers. Technical Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner pays all matching costs. Political Legal City has authority Environmental yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events Social Administrative capability Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners. Local champion City Council Other community objectives Serve as an environmental benefit.		Assessing the Risk
Describing the Action Action category Human Life and structure and Infrastructure Action type preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources Action description Continue the apply for FEMA Funding to elevate homes. Existing, future &/or NA Future Evaluating the Action Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Cost estimate Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City. Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost) emergency workers. Technical Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner pays all matching costs. Political public support and council support Legal City has authority Environmental yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events Social none Administrative capability Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners. Local champion City Council Other community objectives Serve as an environmental benefit.	Hazard(s) addressed	
Action category Action type preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources Action description Continue the apply for FEMA Funding to elevate homes. Existing, future &/or NA Future Evaluating the Action Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Cost estimate Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City. Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost) Technical Technical Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner pays all matching costs. Political public support and council support Legal City has authority Environmental yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events Social Administrative capability Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners. Local champion City Council Other community objectives Serve as an environmental benefit.	Risk finding	Localized Flooding
Action category Action type preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources Action description Continue the apply for FEMA Funding to elevate homes. Existing, future &/or NA Future Evaluating the Action Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Cost estimate Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City. Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost) Technical Technical Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner pays all matching costs. Political public support and council support Legal City has authority Environmental yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events Social Administrative capability Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners. Local champion City Council Other community objectives Serve as an environmental benefit.		
Action type		Describing the Action
Action description Existing, future &/or NA Future Evaluating the Action Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Cost estimate Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City. Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost) Technical Project is cost effective compared to overall health and safety to citizens and emergency workers. Technical Prolitical public support and council support Legal City has authority Environmental Social Administrative capability Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners. City Council Other community objectives	Action category	Human Life and structure and Infrastructure
Existing, future &/or NA Future Evaluating the Action Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Cost estimate Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City. Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost) Technical Project is cost effective compared to overall health and safety to citizens and emergency workers. Technical Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner pays all matching costs. Political Legal City has authority Environmental yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events social Administrative capability Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners. Local champion City Council Other community objectives Serve as an environmental benefit.	Action type	preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources
Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Damage to homes and infrastructure would be avoided. (i.e., benefits)	Action description	Continue the apply for FEMA Funding to elevate homes.
Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Cost estimate Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City. Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost) Technical Technical Technical Technical public support and council support Legal City has authority Environmental yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events Social Administrative capability Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners. City Council Other community objectives Serve as an environmental benefit.	Existing, future &/or NA	Future
(i.e., benefits) Cost estimate Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property owner, no cost to the City. Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost) Technical Technical Technical Political Dublic support and council support Legal City has authority Environmental Social Administrative capability Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners. City Council Other community objectives Paper Serve as an environmental benefit.		Evaluating the Action
Cost effectiveness	Losses avoided	Damage to homes and infrastructure would be avoided.
owner, no cost to the City. Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost) Project is cost effective compared to overall health and safety to citizens and emergency workers. Technical Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner pays all matching costs. Political public support and council support Legal City has authority Environmental yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events Social none Administrative capability Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners. Local champion City Council Other community objectives Serve as an environmental benefit.	(i.e., benefits)	
Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost) Technical Technical Project is cost effective compared to overall health and safety to citizens and emergency workers. Technical Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner pays all matching costs. Political Legal City has authority Environmental yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events Social Administrative capability Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners. Local champion City Council Other community objectives Serve as an environmental benefit.	Cost estimate	Approximately \$200,000 per home, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property
(i.e., benefit/cost) emergency workers. Technical Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner pays all matching costs. Political public support and council support Legal City has authority Environmental yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events Social none Administrative capability Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners. Local champion City Council Other community objectives Serve as an environmental benefit.		
Technical Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property owner pays all matching costs. Political public support and council support Legal City has authority Environmental yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events Social none Administrative capability Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners. Local champion City Council Other community objectives Serve as an environmental benefit.	Cost effectiveness	Project is cost effective compared to overall health and safety to citizens and
owner pays all matching costs. Political public support and council support Legal City has authority Environmental yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events Social none Administrative capability Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners. Local champion City Council Other community objectives Serve as an environmental benefit.	(i.e., benefit/cost)	emergency workers.
Political public support and council support Legal City has authority Environmental yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events Social none Administrative capability Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners. Local champion City Council Other community objectives Serve as an environmental benefit.	Technical	Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property
Legal City has authority Environmental yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events Social none Administrative capability Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners. Local champion City Council Other community objectives Serve as an environmental benefit.		
Environmental yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events Social none Administrative capability Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners. Local champion City Council Other community objectives Serve as an environmental benefit.	Political	
Social none Administrative capability Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners. Local champion City Council Other community objectives Serve as an environmental benefit.		
Administrative capability Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home owners. Local champion Other community objectives Serve as an environmental benefit.	Environmental	yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events
owners. Local champion City Council Other community objectives Serve as an environmental benefit.	Social	
Local champion City Council Other community objectives Serve as an environmental benefit.	Administrative capability	Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home
Other community objectives Serve as an environmental benefit.		
	-	
Implementing the Action	Other community objectives	Serve as an environmental benefit.
iniplementing the Action		
Priority High	Priority	
Local planning mechanism Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home	•	
owners.		
Responsible party administration	Responsible party	administration
Potential funding sources FEMA, Private Property owners		FEMA, Private Property owners
Time line Pending funding		Pending funding
Reporting on Progress		
Action progress status New action	Action progress status	New action

Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	City Of Brigantine
Community action number	11
	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	All
Risk finding	Localized Flooding
3	
	Describing the Action
Action category	Human Life and structure and Infrastructure
Action type	preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources
Action description	Support floodproofing for commercial buildings in the Business District
Existing, future &/or NA	Future
	Evaluating the Action
Losses avoided	Damage to buildings and infrastructure would be avoided.
(i.e., benefits)	
Cost estimate	Approximately \$100,000 per building, all cost are paid by FEMA and the property
	owner, no cost to the City.
Cost effectiveness	Project is cost effective compared to overall health and safety to citizens and
(i.e., benefit/cost)	emergency workers.
Technical	Technically feasible as long as the FEMA funding is available and the property
	owner pays all matching costs.
Political	public support and council support
Legal	City has authority
Environmental	yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events
Social	none
Administrative capability	Program is managed by outside consultants and paid for by FEMA and the home
	owners.
Local champion	City Council
Other community objectives	Serve as an environmental benefit.
Implementing the Action	
Priority	High
Local planning mechanism	Program is managed by consultants and paid for by FEMA and property owners.
Responsible party	administration
Potential funding sources	FEMA, Private Property owners
Time line	Pending funding
	Reporting on Progress
Action progress status	New action

Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	City Of Brigantine
Community action number	12
	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	All
Risk finding	Stormwater system clogging
	Describing the Action
Action category	Human Life and structure and Infrastructure
Action type	Preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources
Action description	Install and maintain inlet grate, debris collectors, and outlet structures.
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing & Future
	Evaluating the Action
Losses avoided	Damage to buildings and infrastructure would be avoided.
(i.e., benefits)	
Cost estimate	Captal - NA, \$5,500/yr. for ongoing maintenance of system by DPW
Cost effectiveness	Project is cost effective compared to overall flood damage.
(i.e., benefit/cost)	
Technical	Yes.
Political	Public support and council support
Legal	City has authority
Environmental	yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events
Social	none
Administrative capability	Program is managed by DPW and paid for by outside and City funds.
Local champion	City Council
Other community objectives	Serve as an environmental benefit.
	Implementing the Action
Priority	High
Local planning mechanism	Program is managed by consultants and paid for by FEMA and property owners.
Responsible party	administration
Potential funding sources	FEMA, NJDEP, NJIT, City Budget
Time line	Pending funding
	Reporting on Progress
Action progress status	New action

Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	City Of Brigantine
Community action number	13
	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	All
Risk finding	Localized Flooding
	Describing the Action
Action category	Human Life and structure and Infrastructure
Action type	Preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources
Action description	Install and maintain inlet grate, debris collectors, and outlet structures.
Existing, future &/or NA	Future
	Evaluating the Action
Losses avoided	Damage to buildings and infrastructure would be avoided.
(i.e., benefits)	
Cost estimate	Approximately \$500 per If
Cost effectiveness	Project is cost effective compared to overall flood damage.
(i.e., benefit/cost)	
Technical	Yes
Political	public support and council support
Legal	City has authority
Environmental	yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events
Social	none
Administrative capability	Program is managed by outside consultants and City Engineer.
Local champion	City Council
Other community objectives Serve as an environmental benefit.	
	Implementing the Action
Priority	High
Local planning mechanism	Program is managed by consultants and paid for by outside funding sources.
Responsible party	administration
Potential funding sources	FEMA, NJDEP, NJIT, City Budget
Time line	Pending funding
	Reporting on Progress
Action progress status	New action

Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	City Of Brigantine
Community action number	14
	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	All
Risk finding	Localized Flooding
	Describing the Action
Action category	Human Life and structure and Infrastructure
Action type	preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources
Action description	Maintain golf course drainage area
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing
	Evaluating the Action
Losses avoided	Damage to buildings and infrastructure would be avoided.
(i.e., benefits)	
Cost estimate	\$900,000
Cost effectiveness	Project is cost effective compared to overall flood damage.
(i.e., benefit/cost)	
Technical	Yes
Political	public support and council support
Legal	City has authority
Environmental	yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events
Social	none
Administrative capability	Program is managed by outside consultants and City Engineer
Local champion	City Council
Other community objectives Serve as an environmental benefit.	
Implementing the Action	
Priority	High
Local planning mechanism	Program is managed by consultants and paid for by outside funding sources.
Responsible party	administration
Potential funding sources	FEMA, NJDEP, NJIT, City Budget
Time line	Pending funding
	Reporting on Progress
Action progress status	New action

Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	City Of Brigantine
Community action number	15
	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	AII
Risk finding	Localized Flooding
	Describing the Action
Action category	Human Life and structure and Infrastructure
Action type	preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources
Action description	Install City wide warning system and implement IPAWS notifications using cell
	towers.
Existing, future &/or NA	Future
	Evaluating the Action
Losses avoided	Damage to buildings and infrastructure would be avoided.
(i.e., benefits)	
Cost estimate	\$40,000
Cost effectiveness	Project is cost effective compared to overall flood damage.
(i.e., benefit/cost)	
Technical	Yes
Political	public support and council support
Legal	City has authority
Environmental	yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events
Social	none
Administrative capability	Program is managed by outside consultants and City Engineer
Local champion	City Council
Other community objectives	Serve as an environmental benefit.
Implementing the Action	
Priority	High
Local planning mechanism	Program is managed by consultants and paid for by outside funding sources.
Responsible party	administration
Potential funding sources	FEMA, NJDEP, NJIT, City Budget, Nature Conservancy
Time line	Pending funding
	Reporting on Progress
Action progress status	New action

Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	City Of Brigantine
Community action number	16
	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	All
Risk finding	Localized Flooding
	Describing the Action
Action category	Human Life and structure and Infrastructure
Action type	preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources
Action description	Elevate evacuation routes
Existing, future &/or NA	Future
	Evaluating the Action
Losses avoided	Damage to buildings and infrastructure would be avoided.
(i.e., benefits)	
Cost estimate	NA
Cost effectiveness	Project is cost effective compared to overall flood damage.
(i.e., benefit/cost)	
Technical	Yes
Political	public support and council support
Legal	City has authority
Environmental	yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events
Social	none
Administrative capability	Program is managed by outside consultants and City Engineer
Local champion	City Council
Other community objectives	Serve as an environmental benefit.
	Implementing the Action
Priority	High
Local planning mechanism	Program is managed by consultants and paid for by outside funding sources.
Responsible party	administration
Potential funding sources	FEMA, NJDEP, NJIT, City Budget, Atlantic County
Time line	Pending funding
Reporting on Progress	
Action progress status	New action

Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	City Of Brigantine
Community action number	17
•	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	AII
Risk finding	Localized Flooding
	Describing the Action
Action category	Human Life and structure and Infrastructure
Action type	preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources
Action description	Elevate selected roads with drainage improvements - Brigantine Boulevard by
	Edgewater; Harbor Beach Boulevard; Sarazen Boulevard; Evans Boulevard;
	Lafayette Boulevard
Existing, future &/or NA	Future
Evaluating the Action	
Losses avoided	Damage to buildings and infrastructure would be avoided.
(i.e., benefits)	
Cost estimate	NA
Cost effectiveness	Project is cost effective compared to overall flood damage.
(i.e., benefit/cost)	
Technical	Yes
Political	public support and council support
Legal	City has authority
Environmental	yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events
Social	none
Administrative capability	Program is managed by outside consultants and City Engineer
Local champion	City Council
Other community objectives	Serve as an environmental benefit.
	Implementing the Action
Priority	High
Local planning mechanism	Program is managed by consultants and paid for by outside funding sources.
Responsible party	administration
Potential funding sources	FEMA, NJDEP, NJIT, County
Time line	Pending funding
Reporting on Progress	
Action progress status	New action

Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	City Of Brigantine
Community action number	18
Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed	AII
Risk finding	Localized Flooding
Describing the Action	
Action category	Human Life and structure and Infrastructure
Action type	preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources
Action description	Extend North End Seawall by 275 feet northward and to Baysidel Create vehicle
	crossover; implement beachfill from 15th Street North to 4 WD entrance.
Existing, future &/or NA	Future
	Evaluating the Action
Losses avoided	Damage to buildings and infrastructure would be avoided.
(i.e., benefits)	
Cost estimate	\$1,200,000
Cost effectiveness	Project is cost effective compared to overall flood damage.
(i.e., benefit/cost)	
Technical	Yes
Political	public support and council support
Legal	City has authority
Environmental	yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events
Social	none
Administrative capability	Program is managed by outside consultants and City Engineer
Local champion	City Council
Other community objectives	Serve as an environmental benefit.
	Implementing the Action
Priority	High
Local planning mechanism	Program is managed by consultants and paid for by outside funding sources.
Responsible party	administration
Potential funding sources	Army Corps, FEMA, NJDEP
Time line	Pending funding
	Reporting on Progress
Action progress status	New action

Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	City Of Brigantine
Community action number	19
Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed	All
Risk finding	Localized Flooding
	Describing the Action
Action category	Human Life and structure and Infrastructure
Action type	preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources
Action description	Continue back passing operation for beach replenishment - North End
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing
Evaluating the Action	
Losses avoided	Damage to buildings and infrastructure would be avoided.
(i.e., benefits)	
Cost estimate	\$100,000/yr.
Cost effectiveness	Project is cost effective compared to overall flood damage.
(i.e., benefit/cost)	
Technical	Yes
Political	public support and council support
Legal	City has authority
Environmental	yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events
Social	none
Administrative capability	Program is managed by outside consultants and City Engineer
Local champion	City Council
Other community objectives	Serve as an environmental benefit.
	Implementing the Action
Priority	High
Local planning mechanism	Program is managed by consultants and paid for by outside funding sources.
Responsible party	administration
Potential funding sources	Army Corps, FEMA, NJDEP
Time line	Pending funding
	Reporting on Progress
Action progress status	New action

Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	City Of Brigantine
Community action number	20
Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed	All
Risk finding	Localized Flooding
	Describing the Action
Action category	Human Life and structure and Infrastructure
Action type	preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources
Action description	Complete drainage improvements and inlet installation (for example 32nd - 40th Street South).
Existing, future &/or NA	Future
Evaluating the Action	
Losses avoided	Damage to buildings and infrastructure would be avoided.
(i.e., benefits)	
Cost estimate	\$300,000
Cost effectiveness	Project is cost effective compared to overall flood damage.
(i.e., benefit/cost)	
Technical	Yes
Political	public support and council support
Legal	City has authority
Environmental	yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events
Social	none
Administrative capability	Program is managed by outside consultants and City Engineer
Local champion	City Council
Other community objectives	Serve as an environmental benefit.
	Implementing the Action
Priority	High
Local planning mechanism	Program is managed by consultants and paid for by outside funding sources.
Responsible party	administration
Potential funding sources	FEMA, NJDEP, NJIT, City Budget
Time line	Pending funding
Reporting on Progress	
Action progress status	New action

Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	City Of Brigantine
Community action number	21
Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed	All
Risk finding	Localized Flooding
	Describing the Action
Action category	Human Life and structure and Infrastructure
Action type	preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources
Action description	Install flood wall at City dock (2519-2601 Bayshore Avenue)
Existing, future &/or NA	Future
Evaluating the Action	
Losses avoided	Damage to buildings and infrastructure would be avoided.
(i.e., benefits)	
Cost estimate	\$200,000
Cost effectiveness	Project is cost effective compared to overall flood damage.
(i.e., benefit/cost)	
Technical	Yes
Political	public support and council support
Legal	City has authority
Environmental	yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events
Social	none
Administrative capability	Program is managed by outside consultants and City Engineer
Local champion	City Council
Other community objectives	Serve as an environmental benefit.
	Implementing the Action
Priority	High
Local planning mechanism	Program is managed by consultants and paid for by outside funding sources.
Responsible party	administration
Potential funding sources	FEMA, NJDEP, NJIT, City Budget
Time line	Pending funding
	Reporting on Progress
Action progress status	New action

Action Worksheet		
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan	
Your community name	City Of Brigantine	
Community action number	22	
Assessing the Risk		
Hazard(s) addressed	All	
Risk finding	Localized Flooding	
	Describing the Action	
Action category	Human Life and structure and Infrastructure	
Action type	preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources	
Action description	Road Elevation/Berm Transformation - identify and construct streets that can also function as berms or floodwalls.	
Existing, future &/or NA	Future	
Evaluating the Action		
Losses avoided	Damage to buildings and infrastructure would be avoided.	
(i.e., benefits)		
Cost estimate	NA	
Cost effectiveness	Project is cost effective compared to overall flood damage.	
(i.e., benefit/cost)		
Technical	Yes	
Political	public support and council support	
Legal	City has authority	
Environmental	yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events	
Social	none	
Administrative capability	Program is managed by outside consultants and City Engineer	
Local champion	City Council	
Other community objectives	Serve as an environmental benefit.	
	Implementing the Action	
Priority	High	
Local planning mechanism	Program is managed by consultants and paid for by outside funding sources.	
Responsible party	administration	
Potential funding sources	FEMA, NJDEP, NJIT, NJDOT, City Budget	
Time line	Pending funding	
	Reporting on Progress	
Action progress status	New Action	

Action Worksheet		
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan	
Your community name	City Of Brigantine	
Community action number	23	
Assessing the Risk		
Hazard(s) addressed	All	
Risk finding	Localized Flooding	
	Describing the Action	
Action category	Human Life and structure and Infrastructure	
Action type	preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources	
Action description	Require real estate disclosure of flood hazards	
Existing, future &/or NA	Future	
	Evaluating the Action	
Losses avoided	Damage to buildings and infrastructure would be avoided.	
(i.e., benefits)		
Cost estimate	NA	
Cost effectiveness	Project is cost effective compared to overall flood damage.	
(i.e., benefit/cost)		
Technical	Yes	
Political	public support and council support	
Legal	City has authority	
Environmental	yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events	
Social	none	
Administrative capability	Program is managed by outside consultants and City Engineer	
Local champion	City Council	
Other community objectives	Serve as an environmental benefit.	
	Implementing the Action	
Priority	High	
Local planning mechanism	Building Department	
Responsible party	administration	
Potential funding sources	City Budget	
Time line	Pending funding	
	Reporting on Progress	
Action progress status	New action	

Action Worksheet	
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	City Of Brigantine
Community action number	24
Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed	All
Risk finding	Localized Flooding
	Describing the Action
Action category	Planning Mechanism
Action type	preservation of public health and safety, structures and natural resources
Action description	Integrated flood hazard/sea level mapping
Existing, future &/or NA	Future
Evaluating the Action	
Losses avoided	Damage to buildings and infrastructure would be avoided.
(i.e., benefits)	
Cost estimate	\$10,000
Cost effectiveness	Project is cost effective compared to overall flood damage.
(i.e., benefit/cost)	
Technical	Yes
Political	public support and council support
Legal	City has authority
Environmental	yes, all are environment actions are positive. Mitigation to storm events
Social	none
Administrative capability	Program is managed by outside consultants and City Engineer
Local champion	City Council
Other community objectives	Serve as an environmental benefit.
	Implementing the Action
Priority	High
Local planning mechanism	Managed by City Engineer
Responsible party	administration
Potential funding sources	FEMA, NJDEP, NJIT, City Budget
Time line	Pending funding
	Reporting on Progress
Action progress status	New action